Abstract

The 1.5 cell RF photo-injector (1, 2) has been operated for the past several months using a copper cathode illuminated by 4 ps long pulses of UV (266nm) light, with a variable energy of between 0 to 300μJ. This typically produces up to 3 nC of charge per bunch. Because space charge forces dominate the electron beam transport a pepper pot measurement system is used to measure the emittance. The emittance is measured as a function of charge, peak accelerating field, laser spot size and initial phase with respect to the RF field. This is accomplished with an automated control and data acquisition system which measures single shot emittances at a rate of 5 Hz developed at UCLA. The experimental results obtained are then compared with theory and simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The UCLA photo-injector produces a very high peak current beam, up to 250 amps, at relatively low energy, 4.5 MeV. The consequence of this is that electron beam transport is in the highly non-linear space charge dominated regime. Since the beam is space charge dominated, linear beam transport formalisms such as matrix transformations do not apply. This means that the usual quadrupole scan technique is not valid for the UCLA photo-electron beam. To overcome this problem a pepper pot emittance measurement apparatus has been designed and implemented. The pepper pot is designed such that upon the electron beam's passage through the pepper pot it is transformed from a space charge dominated beam to an emittance dominated beam. Once the electron beam is in the emittance dominated regime one can use linear transform theory to calculate the emittance. Because of pulse to pulse fluctuations in the beam charge the measurement must be made single shot. All the beam parameters are measured every shot via fast sample and hold electronics.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

A. RF Photo-Injector and Drive Laser

The UCLA photo-injector is a one and half cell standing wave accelerator operating in the π-mode with peak accelerating fields of the order of 100 MV/m. The photocathode is illuminated by frequency chirped, pulse compressed, frequency quadrupled Nd:YAG laser. This laser system delivers 0-300 μJ of energy on the cathode in less than 4 ps and can be injected on axis or at a 70° angle of incidence.

B. Diagnostics

Figure 1 shows the beam line configuration and the location of the diagnostics used in this experiment. Phosphor screens are employed at three locations to measure spot size and emittance patterns. The phosphors used were experimentally confirmed to be linear so no distortions in spot size are due to non linear phosphor response. Faraday cups are used to measure the charge and some of the phosphor screens are floating and can double as Faraday cups. This way one can gather image and charge data simultaneously. To measure the charge when taking emittance measurements we use an Integrating Current Transformer, ICT, which measures the total charge which passes through it. Because it is designed to...
respond to time scales of the order of ps it automatically ignores the dc like dark current background.

III. PEPPER POT AND DATA ACQUISITION

A. Pepper Pot Considerations

The pepper pot used in these experiments is actually an array of eight slits. After the electron beam traverses the slits it drifts approximately 20 cm onto a phosphor screen. The image then gives the full phase space, emittance, and other beam parameters. The width of the slit was chosen by considering the envelope equation, eq. 3.1. For a round beam $A$ is the normalized beam transverse dimension, $\beta$ is the normalized velocity, $\gamma$ is the normalized energy, and $\varepsilon$ is the normalized emittance.

$$A'' - \frac{\beta y k}{A} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{A^3} = 0$$

where $A = a(\beta \gamma)^{1/2}$ (3.1)

Taking the ratio of the space charge to emittance term yields,

$$R = \frac{2a^2 I_p}{(\beta \gamma)^{1/2} \varepsilon^2 A}$$

(3.2)

where $I_p$ is the peak electron beam current and $I_A$ is the Alfven current of 17 kA. When this ratio is greater than unity space charge forces dominated the electron beam and when the ratio is less than unity emittance effects dominates the electron beam transport. By choosing the slit widths wisely we can go from the space charge dominated regime to the emittance dominated regime. Now if we scale the transverse dimension by $\eta$,

$$I'_p = \eta^2 I_p; \quad A' = \eta A; \quad \varepsilon'_A = \eta \varepsilon_A$$

(3.3)

the ratio of the space charge term to the emittance term becomes.

$$R' = R \eta^2$$

(3.4)

Using this expression and plugging in the numbers for the UCLA experiment we get $\eta=0.01$ which corresponds to a slit size of 50$\mu$m.

IV. EMITTANCE MEASUREMENTS

A. Definition of Emittance

Electron beams do not typically have sharp boundaries so the definition of emittance is ambiguous at times. Some laboratories define emittance for a certain percentage of the beam particles enclosed within an ellipse, commonly 63% or 90% (3). For the following measurements we define our emittance as the root-mean-square emittance given by

$$e_{rms} = \langle x^2 \rangle^{1/2} + \langle y^2 \rangle^{1/2}$$

and the normalized emittance is given by

$$e_n = \frac{\beta \gamma e_{rms}}{I_A}$$

(4.2)

B. Emittance Vs Charge

The electron beam emittance in all of the following was done with a beam momentum of 3.5 MeV/c. The first step in measuring the emittance is to measure the linear increase as a function of charge. The laser energy delivered to the cathode was varied and the emittance was measured as a function of charge transported through the ICT and to the slits. For all other parameters fixed one expects the emittance to be linear in charge as in the following equation (4)

$$e_{rms} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1}{\alpha \sin(\theta)} \frac{1}{I_A} \frac{I}{\mu}$$

(4.3)

Each emittance verses charge plot takes approximately thirty to sixty seconds to complete. So one can adjust on-line the beam parameters to minimize the electron beam emittance. This is a very powerful diagnostic.

D. RF induced Emittance Vs Laser Injection Phase

To measure the rf induced emittance verses laser injection phase many emittance verses charge data runs were taken with different laser injection phases. The procedure for extracting the rf induced emittance is as follows. For each laser injection phase the data is plotted and fit to a line. The slope and intercept of this line are then extracted. The zero charge intercept gives the rf induced emittance. The following graph
is a plot of the zero intercepts of many rf injection phases. The data is then fit to the equation (4)
\[ e' = \alpha x^3 \sqrt{\langle \Delta \phi^2 \rangle} |\cos(\phi)| \] (4.4)
Notice that the data has the correct scaling as one would expect.

E. Emittance Vs Peak Accelerating Field
To explore the effect of the variation of the peak electric field the rf power into the photoinjector was varied. Since the final beam energy is proportional to the peak accelerating field we recover the expected linear decreasing of the emittance.

VI. CONCLUSION
The UCLA photo-injector has been operated successfully. The measurements show that the emittance scales as expected. The important thing to note is that for these set of emittance runs the rf photo-injector had a field imbalance. The field in the full cell was 1.8 times that in the half cell. This contributed to emittance blowup as did the phosphor screens used to measure the emittance. The phosphor screens were placed onto the beamline at a 45° angle. This created broadening of the line widths.
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