

LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Two-Screen Method for Determining Electron Beam Energy and Deflection from Laser Wakefield Acceleration

B. B. Pollock, J. S. Ross, G. R. Tynan, L. Divol, S. H. Glenzer, V. Leurent, J. P. Palastro, J. E. Ralph, D. H. Froula, C. E. Clayton, K. A. Marsh, A. E. Pak, T. L. Wang, C. Joshi

April 29, 2009

Particle Accelerator Conference Vancouver, Canada May 4, 2009 through May 8, 2009

# Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

# TWO-SCREEN METHOD FOR DETERMINING ELECTRON BEAM ENERGY AND DEFLECTION FROM LASER WAKEFIELD ACCELERATION\*

B.B. Pollock, J.S. Ross, G.R. Tynan, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA
L. Divol, S.H. Glenzer, V. Leurent, J.P. Palastro, J.E. Ralph, D.H. Froula, LLNL, Livermore, CA 94550, US
C.E. Clayton, K.A. Marsh, A.E. Pak, T.L. Wang, C. Joshi, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 9

#### Abstract

Laser Wakef eld Acceleration (LWFA) experiments have been performed at the Jupiter Laser Facility, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. In order to unambiguously determine the output electron beam energy and defection angle at the plasma exit, we have implemented a two-screen electron spectrometer. This system is comprised of a dipole magnet followed by two image plates. By measuring the electron beam deviation from the laser axis on each plate, both the energy and defection angle at the plasma exit are determined through the relativistic equation of motion.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Laser wakef eld accelerators employ high intensity  $(\geq 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2)$  laser pulses to produce high energy, low divergence electron beams [1]. Typical electron energy measurement schemes consist of placing a dipole magnet after the plasma, followed by an image plate or phosphor screen [2]. By assuming that the electrons exit the plasma parallel to the laser axis, the position of the electron beam on the image plate determines its energy by setting the beam Larmour radius in the dipole magnet.

However, we find that small-angle defections of the electron beam from the laser axis at the plasma exit become convolved with the cyclotron motion in the magnet, leading to large errors in the calculated electron energy. Placing an aperture between the plasma and the spectrometer limits the maximum defection angle that results in electrons reaching the image plate, but we will show that even a 2 degree defection can result in order-of-magnitude errors in the energy measurement.

This ambiguity between energy and defection is removed when a second image plate is added after the magnet [3]. By measuring the deviation of the electron beam from the laser axis at two positions the electron trajectory at the magnet exit is determined. This results in a unique solution to the relativistic equation of motion for the electron beam energy and defection angle at the plasma exit.

#### **EXPERIMENT SETUP**

Laser Wakef eld Acceleration experiments have been performed using the Callisto laser at the Jupiter Laser Facility, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Callisto is a Ti:Sapphire laser, which has recently been upgraded to deliver 60  $\pm 5$  fs pulses of 800 nm light at energies above 10 J. This 200 TW system is focused on the leading edge of a gas jet by an f/8 off-axis parabola to a vacuum spot size of 18 microns FWHM. The gas jet supplies He gas at neutral densities of  $1 \times 10^{18}$ - $1 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Nozzle diameters of 5 mm and 8 mm are used, and the prof les are well characterized using interferometry [1].

Measurements of the resulting electron beam are performed with a dipole magnet and two image plates, as shown in Figure 1. The dipole magnet is comprised of two permanent magnetic plates, each measuring 20 cm long by 8 cm high. The feld between the plates is determined by their separation distance; B=0.46 T for a plate separation of 3.5 cm. The magnet entrance is located 62.5 cm from the gas jet. In order to resolve the electron energies, two Fuji BAS-MS image plates are placed after the exit of the magnet at distances of 144.6 cm and 203.8 cm from the jet. They are read with a Fujif lm FLA-7000 scanner providing 200 micron spatial resolution. There is a minimum 20 minute delay between image plate exposure and scanning to minimize error introduced by fading effects in the plates [4]. The location of incident electrons is measured on each plate, and solving the relativistic equation of motion then determines the electron energy and the beam def ection from the laser axis at the plasma exit.

## RESULTS

Electron beam energy and defection angle are determined by measuring the position of the electrons on two image plates. Figure 1 shows the result of a 145 TW laser pulse incident on a plasma with an electron density of  $3.2 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. In this particular example there is more than one bunch of accelerated electrons visible on the image plates. For the bunch labeled B1 on each image plate, the deviation from the laser axis is 3 mm for the f rst plate and 26 mm for the second plate.

If the electron beam is assumed to have no defection angle at the plasma exit, using only the f rst image plate position to solve the equation of motion in the magnet results in an energy measurement of 5 GeV. Using only the second image plate with the same assumption gives an electron beam energy of 1.4 GeV. However, since the beam height is known in two locations the trajectory of the beam back to the exit plane of the magnet is determined. It is now not

<sup>\*</sup>Prepared by LLNL under contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.




Figure 1: The Callisto laser is focused by an f/8 off-axis parabola to a vacuum spot size of 18 microns (FWHM) at the leading edge of a He gas jet. At peak laser power of 200 TW this results in an  $a_0$  of 3. The gas jet delivers He gas at neutral densities of  $1 \times 10^{18}$ - $1 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. A dipole magnet is placed after the jet, followed by two image plates. The displayed trajectory through the magnet is that which corresponds to the features labeled B1 on the image plates.

possible to solve the equation of motion without allowing for an initial defection angle at the plasma exit. For the data in Figure 1, the electrons exit the plasma with an angle of  $-33\pm1.5$  mrad; this corresponds to a beam energy of only  $383\pm20$  MeV.

The same analysis can be applied to the each successive electron beam. For the bunch labeled B2, the beam height is 21.3 (33.85) cm on the f rst (second) plate, which independently corresponds to 165 (195) MeV assuming no defection. Solving using both measurements yields an energy of 416 $\pm$ 30 MeV with a defection angle of +49 $\pm$ 3 mrad. This indicates that not only is it necessary to allow for initial defections, but that each electron beam trajectory must be solved for its own defection.

Figure 2 shows the results of all of our recorded electron beams. Each beam has been analyzed f rst using both image plates, and then again with only the f rst plate. The solid line in Figure 2a corresponds to equal energy measurements for both methods. Electron beams above this line underwent a downward def ection at the plasma exit, while beams below the line had a positive def ection angle. Every beam suffered from some initial def ection, as shown in Figure 2b.

For the lowest energy electron beams the energy measured with the two-screen system closely follows the solid line of Figure 2a. This is to be expected, since lower energy beams are defected more by the dipole magnet. As the electron beam energy increases, the magnet defection decreases, and the effect of the initial defection becomes more pronounced. This necessitates a two-screen electron spectrometer system in order to resolve GeV-scale electron beams.

### CONCLUSION

This laser wakef eld acceleration study implemented a novel technique for measuring output electron beam energies. By using two image plates after a dipole magnet we have shown that electron beams produced by LWFA can have signif cant def ections from the laser axis upon exiting the plasma. The result of these def ections is up to an order of magnitude error in the electron energy measurement. Furthermore, multiple electron beams with different def ection angles can be produced by a single laser pulse. Only by measuring the position of each electron beam in two locations can the energy be uniquely determined.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Froula, et.al., Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [2] W. P. Leemans, B. Nagler, A. J. Gonsalves, Cs. Toth, K. Nakamura, C. G. R. Geddes, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, and S. M. Hooker, *Nature Physics* 2, 696 (2006).
- [3] I. Blumenfeld, et. al., Nature 445, 741 (2007).
- [4] K. A. Tanaka, T. Yabuuchi, T. Sato, R. Kodama, Y. Kitagawa, T. Takahashi, T. Ikeda, Y. Honda, and S. Okuda, *Rev. Sci. Instr.* 76, 013507 (2005).




Figure 2: a) The energy of each of our electron beams is measured f rst using both image plates and then again with just the f rst image plate. The solid line divides electron beams with positive (below the line) and negative (above the line) def ection angles. b) The def ection angles for all electron beams are shown; there is no apparent correlation to beam energy.