Amplitude Modulation Cues for Perceptual Voicing Distinctions in Noise

Brian P. Strope and Abeer A. Alwan

Speech Processing and Auditory Perception Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, UCLA, 405 Hilgard Ave., Los Angeles CA, 90095

Abstract: This paper describes measurements of the perception of voicing for fricatives in noise. In addition to a low-frequency spectral cue, voiced fricatives can include a temporal amplitude-modulation cue at the pitch-rate in high-frequency regions. Syllable initial fricatives [s] and [z] were manually isolated from CV syllables, high-pass filtered above 3 kHz, and added to flat-spectrum background noise. Subjects were able to discriminate these sounds below 0-dB SNR. Discrimination thresholds were analyzed using three psychoacoustic models of amplitude-modulation detection.

MOTIVATION

Perceiving speech in an acoustically noisy environment requires intelligent use of redundant multi-dimensional cues spread over wide-ranging time scales. Speech perception research has often focused on spectral cues (from approximately 400-8000 Hz) that are available through a critical-band filtering mechanism. This approach is currently used in most automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems, together with a hierarchy of non-stationary stochastic models operating at the progressively slower rates of: the speech-frame, phoneme, word, phrase and even sentence. More recently, speech perception research has also investigated the role of temporal amplitude-modulation cues (1) at the syllabic- or articulator-rate of approximately 2-20 Hz, with some application to ASR (2). The current study examines the perception of pitch-rate amplitude-modulation cues associated with vocal fold vibration at roughly 80-300 Hz. This dimension is ignored in most ASR systems and in some speech perception studies. The strident fricatives [s z] are used as a case study.

SPEECH ANALYSIS

Fricatives are produced by forming a sufficiently narrow constriction in the vocal tract to generate a turbulent noise-like source. With voiced fricatives, vibrating vocal folds introduce low-frequency energy at the first few harmonics of the fundamental frequency. Measurements using the relative level of the first harmonic have been shown to be good indicators for voiced/unvoiced fricative distinctions (3)(4). In the current study, the fricatives [s z] with the vowels [a i u] for [s] and [z] were recorded as CV syllables from four talkers. Figure 1 compares log-magnitude spectral estimates for [s] and [z] averaged over 96 measurements.

A typical ASR system relies on the low-frequency spectral difference to discriminate these sounds. However, Figure 2 shows examples of the temporal waveform for [z] and [s] after each has been high-pass filtered above 3 kHz. These waveforms provide evidence that during voiced fricatives, the vibrating vocal folds can modulate the pressure source behind the constriction sufficiently to generate an acoustic pitch-rate amplitude-modulation cue in high-frequency regions. Perceptual discrimination thresholds were measured for these isolated high-pass tokens in a flat background noise (see Figure 3B). Four subjects participated in the measurements.
The perceptual sensitivity to this amplitude-modulation cue was analyzed using three psychoacoustic models. The first is an envelope-statistic model, adapted from (5)(6), that uses a 3-kHz bandwidth filter, half-wave rectification, 90-Hz low-pass filtering, and a normalized fourth-moment statistic. The second, adapted from (7)(8), uses 6 4th-order gamma-tone filters (from roughly 4.5 to 7.5 kHz), half-wave rectification, 1-kHz low-pass filtering, modulation filtering with a $Q_{	ext{sub}}$ of 2, internal noise, and a standard deviation statistic. The third, motivated by (9)(10)(11), uses the same 6 filters, half-wave rectification, 280-Hz low-pass filtering, and then computes the running autocorrelation in each channel. The channel-autocorrelations are summed across the 6-channels to generate a summary autocorrelogram. The model's statistic is the peak difference between any two summary autocorrelogram values at delays of $\tau$ and $\tau/2$. Figure 3A shows each model's prediction of temporal modulation transfer functions (perceptual data is an interpolated average from (6) and (8)). Figure 3B shows [s z] discrimination predictions for each model, together with perceptual thresholds tracked at two $d'$ values. The third model's predictions best approximate the perceptual discrimination of high-pass filtered [s] and [z] in noise. [Work supported by NIDCD grant #DC02033 and the NSF.]
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