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Abstract

This paper presents preliminary findings in automatically scoring children’s oral assessments while they perform a picture description task. Approximately 200 children aged 9-13 participated in this task in which they tell a story about an image presented to them. We use a BERT-based system to predict assessment scores from input ASR transcripts of the student responses. Finally, we propose next design steps to make the system more applicable to an educational setting.
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1. Introduction

A large challenge in educational speech technology is creating fair and robust systems that can automatically assess children’s oral language proficiency from their spontaneous speech. These systems commonly operate by first using automatic speech recognition (ASR) to transcribe children’s spoken answers to a prompt. These systems then apply natural language processing (NLP) to the ASR transcripts in order to calculate metrics relating to correctness of pronunciation and word usage, complexity of grammar patterns used, level of detail expressed, and other factors in order to evaluate the student’s language abilities. In recent years, several studies have made great strides in ASR of children’s speech as well as NLP for scoring language assessments (e.g., [1]). However, many educational applications of speech systems focus on analyzing read or scripted speech for pronunciation errors, disfluencies, or prosodic inconsistencies [2, 3]. These systems do not capture higher-level aspects of language proficiency like vocabulary size and narrative abilities that are better measured by analyzing spontaneous speech. To accurately transcribe children’s spontaneous speech for use in downstream NLP schemes, however, is a difficult task due to the disfluencies, presence of non-speech sounds (gasping, laughter, etc.), and high variability of pronunciation and prosody found in children’s speech [4, 5]. The work in [6] proposes a system to transcribe spontaneous speech from English learners and extract features from the transcripts in order to automatically score and evaluate language abilities. This paper, which builds on our previous work in [1], similarly proposes a design for a novel system which extracts transformer-based representations from ASR transcripts of children’s spontaneous speech and automatically grades student responses.

2. Picture Description Task

Picture description tasks are often used to elicit spontaneous speech from children. Students are shown a picture with multiple characters or elements relating to a story plot. Images are generally chosen by experts in education to be straightforward to describe and contain enough content for the child to give a lengthy answer. The students are then asked to tell a story about the picture. Students are graded based on completeness of the description, coherence of the story, proper use of grammar, and other aspects relating to narrative language ability. In the preliminary work reported in this paper, we used a picture description task from the Test of Narrative Language [7] in the GSU Kids Speech Corpus [1]. 191 children, aged 9-13, were shown an image containing a character and several elements to describe. The students were then asked to tell a story about the image, making their story as complete as possible. Each child’s response to the prompt was recorded, and each child, on average, took about 3 minutes to complete their story. Then, specialists in children’s language education graded the assessment as described in [8].

3. Preliminary Experiment

In our work, thus far, we have focused on training a language model to achieve good performance in giving cumulative scores to children’s oral picture description tasks. As shown in Figure 1, we train a language model to take ASR transcripts and human-labeled assessment scores of the 191 utterances and then learn to automatically score the picture description task. In these experiments, the GSU Kids Speech recordings were downsampled to 16kHz and automatically transcribed. We evaluate the large versions of both Whisper [9] and HuBERT [10] for this. We then used a transformer encoder-based language model appended with a fully-connected layer to perform classification of the student’s score from the ASR transcript. To measure performance degradation due to ASR error, we also perform the classification from the ground truth transcription. As in [1], we discretized each student’s raw assessment score...
into one of five labels corresponding to a continuous grade of 0%-20%, 20%-40%, 40%-60%, 60%-80%, or 80%-100% (with the data distribution for the individual labels to be 7%, 19%, 38%, 32%, and 4% respectively) before training the language model to predict the student’s score range from the transcription text. As coherence and grammar are main factors in the human scoring, we experimented with the language models, BERT [11] and ALBERT [12], which have shown good performance in the next sentence prediction and sentence reordering pre-training tasks respectively, as well as DistilBERT [13] which is trained by distilling the BERT model. We also consider XLNET [14], as its autoregressive structure has proven advantageous over BERT in several text classification tasks. 75% of the GSU Kids Speech was used for training and the other 25% for testing. We performed a 4-fold split to ensure that all data was used in testing and report the average performance over all folds. In addition to the GSU Kids dataset, we also jointly train the system with text from the VHED dataset [15] to augment the size of training corpus. This dataset contains image captions corresponding to sequences of images which form short stories. Each set of captions is also labeled by human annotators with an average quality ranking of the overall story on a scale of 1 to 5. The VHED dataset is a composite of multiple audio-visual story-telling datasets with a wide representation of story tasks. Our intention in using it here is to implicitly teach the model linguistic features inspite of the high WER of these systems. In near future, we will train the system to predict individual score components in order to return a detailed score report for each system. For example, the annotators have marked whether or not the child included character names in their story, if they have described key parts of the scene in the picture, and if they keep the same verb tense throughout their story. The promising results in Table 1 imply that, given the annotator labels, the system can be trained to predict these characteristics of the student response individually. Teachers can use such results to understand which areas a student needs to improve in.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model (Size)</th>
<th>BERT (110M)</th>
<th>ALBERT (11M)</th>
<th>DistilBERT (60M)</th>
<th>XLNET (110M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>%WER</td>
<td>C. Acc</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundtruth</td>
<td>- 98.0</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whisper-Large</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuBERT-Large</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Percent Classification Accuracy (C. Acc), Percent F1 Score, and Root Mean Square Error of each language model in predicting student scores from the input transcripts (ground truth, Whisper ASR transcript, or HuBERT asr transcripts) along with the word error rate (WER) for each.
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