University of California, Los Angeles

**Aeration Systems Past, Present and Future.** What to Expect from Aeration **System Upgrades** Michael K. Stenstrom **Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering Department** 

# Outline

- Aeration system types
- Terminology
- Mechanical (surface) aerators and combined (jets and turbines)
- Diffused aeration
  - Coarse
  - Fine pore
- Current Performance Estimates
- Maintenance and Economics
- Conclusions

# Terminology

#### Efficiency

- Standard oxygen transfer efficiency (SOTE) (percent oxygen transferred)
- Standard oxygen transfer rate (SOTR) (mass transferred per unit time)
- Standard aeration efficiency (SAE) (mass transferred per unit time per unit power)

# **Terminology Cont**

- SOTE percent
- SOTR Ib O2/hr or kg O2/hr
- SAE Ib O2/hp-hr or kg O2/kW-hr
- All above at standard conditions (e.g. 20°C, clean water, etc.)
- OTE, OTR, AE at process conditions

# Standard and Process Conditions

- Adustment formulas based upon driving force, temperature, barometric pressure, water quality, saturation concentration, etc.
- Driving force and water quality the most significant
- Driving force =  $(DO_s DO)/DO_s$
- Water quality alpha factor, 0 to 1 !
- Total correction can result in process water transfer of only 30 to 80% of clean water transfer

# **Mechanical Aerators**

- Two types
  - High speed (900-1200 RPM)
  - Low speed (30-80 RPM)
- Operate at the surface
- Modest efficiency
- High heat loss
- Mist, spray
- Often simple to install, especially high speed
- Higher alpha factors (0.6 to 0.9) depending upon energy density



#### **Specifications**

- 1 to 75 hp (1 to 56 kW)
- Up to 2.2 lb O2/hp-hr (1.3 kg O2/kW-hr)
- 900 to 1200 rpm motors, no gear box
- Floc shearing potential
- Quick installation, quick delivery
- 8 ft (2.5 m) depth without draft tubes

# High Speed – Out of Service







#### For Sale !!!!



# Low Speed Vertical Michael K. Stenstrom (Radial Pumping)



## **Specifications**

- 5 to 150 hp (112 kW), rarely greater, but possible
- 3 to 3.5 lb O2/hp-hr (1.8-2.2 kg O2/kW-hr)
- ~40 to 80 RPM impellers
- Depths to 15 ft (3.5 m) without draft tubes or lower impellers
- Usually pier mounted, but occasionally mounted on floats
- Long lead time for purchase and installation
- Less potential for floc shear
- Lower impellers and draft tubes for operation at greater depth
- New impeller designs

## **In Service**



#### Maintenance





# **Combined Types**

- Turbines using mechanical energy to make fine bubbles from a coarse orifice
  - Sparged
  - Down draft
- Jets air and water flowing through a venturi creates fine bubbles without a small orifice
- Alpha factors similar to fine bubble diffusers, as opposed to mechanical aerators (0.3 to 0.6)

# Down Draft Turbine

Motor/Gear

Support Columns

**Draft Tube** 







#### **Diffused – Coarse Bubble**

- Low maintenance, low efficiency
- 1 % /ft or (3%/m) SOTE
- 2.0-3.0 SAE (1.2 1.8 kg O2/kW-hr)
- Large orifices 0.25 in (60 mm)
- Handles large air flow and high OTRs for many industrial applications
- Phased out in most municipal applications in favor of more efficient fine pore systems
- Alpha in the 0.6 to 0.8 range

# **Floor Coverage**

- Spiral roll least efficient but great mixing (0.3 to 0.5 % SOTE/ft)
- Cross roll and "ridge and furrow"
- Full floor coverage most efficient
- Odd arrangements often work well
- Depth limited by blower restrictions

# **Floor Configurations**



# **Spargers**



## **Fine Pore Diffusers**

- Ceramic plates original custom build systems
- Ceramic domes imported from England, technology ruined in the US
- Ceramic discs pioneered by Sanitaire
- Ceramic tubes old and new versions
- Membrane discs sometimes interchangeable with discs
- Membrane tubes many manufacturers
- Plastic tubes and discs some special uses
- Panels proprietary geometry

#### **Fine Pore Diffusers**

- Usually implemented with full floor coverage
- Quiescent systems low turbulence and low fluid velocities
- Suitable for low to medium rate systems
- Requires routine cleaning
- Highest efficiency of all the systems, so far! 8.0 SAE (4.8 kg O2/kW-hr)
- Best system to minimize VOC release

## **Fine Pore Plates**

Developed and used by many large US cities, in custom, site-specific designs.



# Domes On Air Headers

() a

#### **Ceramic Disc Diffusers**





# **Membrane Discs**

D

# **Other Discs**





# **Mini Panel**









# **Diffused Aerator Problems**

- Coarse bubble
  - Piping failure
  - Corrosion
  - Leaks
- Fine pore
  - Fouling (biological)
  - Scaling (chemical)
  - Leaks into the piping system that foul diffusers
  - Back pressure build up
  - Material failures (membrane problems)
  - Piping failures
  - Leaks

#### **Material Failures**

- Hardening of the membrane from leaching of membrane components, resulting in increased pressure drop and reduced efficiency
- Softening of the membrane due to absorption of wastewater constituents, resulting in membrane expansion, increased pressure drop and reduced efficiency
- Change in pore size due to aging

# **Fouling and Scaling**

- Fouling biological growth on diffuser surfaces, coalescing bubbles, increasing pressure drop
- Scaling precipitation of minerals (calcium carbonate, silica)
- Fouling from the inside due leaks into the piping system

# Tank With Partial Cleanse From Hosio Copy right 2001, 2006 Michael K. Stenstrom

#### 1777777777

# Diffuser Coated With Bioslime

#### How Does this Affect Design, Operation and Economics?

- Alpha factors the mother of all fudge factors!
- Efficiency decline over time by fouling/scaling
- Economics of cleaning and replacement
- Monitoring New instruments coming

## A tale of two tanks



#### **20 years of field Results**



#### What we learned?

- Fine pore diffuser performance is a function of the MCRT (sludge age or SRT)
- Higher MCRT means higher transfer efficiency!

## Performance as a Function of Diffuser Age



#### Power Wasted Compared to Cleaning Cost

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Efficiency per process type

**NEW &** 5.4 1.6 **CLEANED** NE W ¢<sup>Ŭ9</sup> αSOTE/Z (%/m 4.60%/m +.6 <24 mo. USED 1.2 NEW 🛇 lphaSOTE /  $\mathbb{Z}$  (%/ft) OLD α**SOTE/Z (%/ft**) 4.30%/m U NEW ¢<sup>OLD</sup> 3.8 OLD 3.75%/m  $\diamond$ 0.8 OLD >24 mo. 3.0 USED 8 USED **MCRT** (d) 2 4 MCRT (d) 10 MCRT (d) 13 15 17 19 21 22 14 18 0.4 CONVENTIONAL **N-ONLY** NDN

Copy right 2001, 2006 Michael K. Stenstrom

#### Clea

# Aeration cost Diffuser clear

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

Ben Leu, 2005, Fine-pore Diffuser from Orange County

#### New Generation of Monitoring Equipment

- Most of the results you see where collected through off-gas testing
- Requires an expert operator, 8 to 24 hours of time
- Cost amounts to several thousand dollars per test
- New Generation of equipment-
  - Automatic
  - Digital
  - Inexpensive
  - Smaller!

#### **Instrument sizes**

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Final Thoughts**

- Engineers have a wide range of options for aeration
- Mechanical aerators
  - High speed simple quick solution, usually not best on any specific parameter
  - Low speed expensive but can be relatively efficient, good mixing
  - Both have high cooling rates and high VOC stripping rates. Not recommended for cold applications

# **Final Thoughts**

- Coarse bubble diffusers
  - Low maintenance
  - Low efficiency
  - Never a good energy conserving solution but often the maintenance free solution
- Fine pore (bubble)
  - Best energy conservation
  - High maintenance
  - Commit to clean or do not purchase

# **Diffuser Cleaning**

- Depending on fouling rates, diffuser cleaning will pay for itself in 9 to 24 months, depending on fouling tendency
- High MCRT systems foul more slowly
- Low MCRT systems foul more quickly

## **To BNR or Not?**

#### Our work shows that

- LOW MCRT Systems have the lowest OTE
- High MCRT Systems have much higher OTE
- BNR systems like the MLE process have the highest OTE
- The improved OTE and the denitrification credit compensate for the additional oxygen requirements of High MCRT operation
- BNR systems, because of the selector effect of the denitrification zone, resist bulking and are inherently more stable.
- Why build new low SRT systems ????