Lecture 1 Introduction

- course overview
 - linear optimization
 - examples
 - history
 - approximate syllabus
- basic definitions
 - linear optimization in vector and matrix notation
 - halfspaces and polyhedra
 - geometrical interpretation

Linear optimization

minimize
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} c_j x_j$$

subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{n} d_{ij} x_j = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

- n optimization variables: x_1, \ldots, x_n (real scalars)
- problem data (parameters): the coefficients c_j , a_{ij} , b_i , d_{ij} , f_i
- $\sum_{j} c_j x_j$ is the cost function or objective function
- $\sum_{j} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i$ and $\sum_{j} d_{ij} x_j = f_i$ are inequality and equality *constraints*

called a **linear optimization problem** or **linear program** (LP)

Introduction

Importance

low complexity

- problems with several thousand variables, constraints routinely solved
- much larger problems (millions of variables) if problem data are sparse
- widely available software
- theoretical worst-case complexity is polynomial

wide applicability

- originally developed for applications in economics and management
- today, used in all areas of engineering, data analysis, finance, . . .
- a key tool in combinatorial optimization

extensive theory

no simple formula for solution but extensive, useful (duality) theory

Example: open-loop control problem

single-input/single-output system (input u(t), output y(t) at time t)

$$y(t) = h_0 u(t) + h_1 u(t-1) + h_2 u(t-2) + h_3 u(t-3) + \cdots$$

output tracking problem: minimize deviation from desired output $y_{des}(t)$

$$\max_{t=0,\ldots,N} |y(t) - y_{\rm des}(t)|$$

subject to input amplitude and slew rate constraints:

$$|u(t)| \le U, \qquad |u(t+1) - u(t)| \le S$$

variables: $u(0), \ldots, u(M)$ (with u(t) = 0 for t < 0, t > M)

solution: can be formulated as an LP, hence easily solved (more later)

Introduction

example

step response ($s(t) = h_t + \cdots + h_0$) and desired output:



amplitude and slew rate constraint on u:

$$|u(t)| \le 1.1, \qquad |u(t) - u(t-1)| \le 0.25$$



optimal solution (computed via linear optimization)

Example: assignment problem

- match N people to N tasks
- each person is assigned to one task; each task assigned to one person
- cost of assigning person i to task j is a_{ij}

combinatorial formulation

minimize
$$\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\n,j=1}}^{N} a_{ij}x_{ij}$$
subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\n,j=1}}^{N} x_{ij} = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n,j=1}}^{N} x_{ij} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$
$$x_{ij} \in \{0,1\}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N$$

- variable $x_{ij} = 1$ if person *i* is assigned to task *j*; $x_{ij} = 0$ otherwise
- N! possible assignments, *i.e.*, too many to enumerate

linear optimization formulation

minimize
$$\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i,j=1}}^{N} a_{ij}x_{ij}$$
subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\ N}}^{N} x_{ij} = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ 0 \le x_{ij} \le 1, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N}$$

- we have *relaxed* the constraints $x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$
- it can be shown that at the optimum $x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ (see later)
- hence, can solve (this particular) combinatorial problem efficiently (via linear optimization or specialized methods)

Brief history

- **1940s** (Dantzig, Kantorovich, Koopmans, von Neumann, . . .) foundations, motivated by economics and logistics problems
- **1947** (Dantzig): simplex algorithm
- **1950s–60s:** applications in other disciplines
- **1979** (Khachiyan): ellipsoid algorithm: more efficient (polynomial-time) than simplex in worst case, much slower in practice
- **1984** (Karmarkar): projective (interior-point) algorithm: polynomial-time worst-case complexity, and efficient in practice
- **since 1984**: variations of interior-point methods (improved complexity or efficiency in practice), software for large-scale problems

Tentative syllabus

- linear and piecewise-linear optimization
- polyhedral geometry
- duality
- applications
- algorithms: simplex algorithm, interior-point algorithms, decomposition
- applications in network and combinatorial optimization
- extensions: linear-fractional programming
- introduction to integer linear programming

Vectors

vector of length n (or n-vector)

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- we also use the notation $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- x_i is *i*th *component* or *element* (real unless specified otherwise)
- set of real *n*-vectors is denoted \mathbf{R}^n

special vectors (with *n* determined from context)

- x = 0 (zero vector): $x_i = 0, i = 1, ..., n$
- x = 1 (vector of all ones): $x_i = 1, i = 1, ..., n$
- $x = e_i$ (ith basis or unit vector): $x_i = 1$, $x_k = 0$ for $k \neq i$

Introduction

Matrices

matrix of size $m \times n$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & A_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$A_{ij}$$
 (or a_{ij}) is the i, j element (or entry, coefficient)

- set of real $m \times n$ -matrices is denoted $\mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$
- vectors can be viewed as matrices with one column

special matrices (with size determined from context)

•
$$X = 0$$
 (zero matrix): $X_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, ..., m, j = 1, ..., n$

• X = I (identity matrix): m = n with $X_{ii} = 1$, $X_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$

Operations

- matrix transpose A^T
- scalar multiplication αA
- addition A + B and subtraction A B of matrices of the same size
- product y = Ax of a matrix with a vector of compatible length
- product C = AB of matrices of compatible size
- inner product of *n*-vectors:

$$x^T y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$$

LP in inner-product notation

minimize
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} c_j x_j$$

subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{n} d_{ij} x_j = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

inner-product notation

minimize
$$c^T x$$

subject to $a_i^T x \le b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$
 $d_i^T x = f_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$

c, a_i , d_i are n-vectors:

$$c = (c_1, \dots, c_n), \qquad a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}), \qquad d_i = (d_{i1}, \dots, d_{in})$$

LP in matrix notation

minimize
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} c_j x_j$$

subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\n}}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{n} d_{ij} x_j = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

matrix notation

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & c^T x \\ \mbox{subject to} & Ax \leq b \\ & Dx = f \end{array}$

- A is $m \times n$ -matrix with elements a_{ij} , rows a_i^T
- D is $p \times n$ -matrix with elements d_{ij} , rows d_i^T
- inequality is component-wise vector inequality

Terminology

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize} & c^T x \\ \mbox{subject to} & Ax \leq b \\ & Dx = f \end{array}$$

- x is **feasible** if it satisfies the constraints $Ax \leq b$ and Dx = f
- feasible set is set of all feasible points
- x^* is **optimal** if it is feasible and $c^T x^* \leq c^T x$ for all feasible x
- the **optimal value** of the LP is $p^{\star} = c^T x^{\star}$
- unbounded problem: $c^T x$ unbounded below on feasible set $(p^* = -\infty)$
- infeasible probem: feasible set is empty $(p^* = +\infty)$

Vector norms

Euclidean norm

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} = \sqrt{x^T x}$$

 $\ell_1\text{-norm}$ and $\ell_\infty\text{-norm}$

$$||x||_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|$$

$$|x||_{\infty} = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

properties (satisfied by any norm f(x))

- $f(\alpha x) = |\alpha|f(x)$ (homogeneity)
- $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ (triangle inequality)
- $f(x) \ge 0$ (nonnegativity); f(x) = 0 if only if x = 0 (definiteness)

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

 $-\|x\|\|y\| \le x^T y \le \|x\|\|y\|$

- holds for all vectors x, y of the same size
- $x^T y = ||x|| ||y||$ iff x and y are aligned (nonnegative multiples)
- $x^T y = -||x|| ||y||$ iff x and y are opposed (nonpositive multiples)
- implies many useful inequalities as special cases, for example,

$$-\sqrt{n} \|x\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \le \sqrt{n} \|x\|$$

Angle between vectors

the angle $\theta = \angle(x, y)$ between nonzero vectors x and y is defined as

$$\theta = \arccos \frac{x^T y}{\|x\| \|y\|}$$
 (*i.e.*, $x^T y = \|x\| \|y\| \cos \theta$)

- we normalize θ so that $0 \le \theta \le \pi$
- relation between sign of inner product and angle

Projection

projection of x on the line defined by nonzero y: the vector $\hat{t}y$ with

$$\hat{t} = \underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x - ty\|$$

expression for \hat{t} :

$$\hat{t} = \frac{x^T y}{\|y\|^2} = \frac{\|x\|\cos\theta}{\|y\|}$$



Hyperplanes and halfspaces

hyperplane

solution set of one linear equation with nonzero coefficient vector \boldsymbol{a}

$$a^T x = b$$

halfspace

solution set of one linear inequality with nonzero coefficient vector a

$$a^T x \le b$$

\boldsymbol{a} is the normal vector

Geometrical interpretation



- the vector $u = (b/||a||^2)a$ satisfies $a^T u = b$
- x is in hyperplane G if $a^T(x-u) = 0$ (x u is orthogonal to a)
- x is in halfspace H if $a^T(x-u) \leq 0$ (angle $\angle (x-u,a) \geq \pi/2$)

Example





Polyhedron

solution set of a finite number of linear inequalities



- intersection of a finite number of halfspaces
- in matrix notation: $Ax \leq b$ if A is a matrix with rows a_i^T
- can include equalities: Fx = g is equivalent to $Fx \leq g$, $-Fx \leq -g$

Example

$$x_1 + x_2 \ge 1, \qquad -2x_1 + x_2 \le 2, \qquad x_1 \ge 0, \qquad x_2 \ge 0$$



Example

 $0 \le x_1 \le 2, \qquad 0 \le x_2 \le 2, \qquad 0 \le x_3 \le 2, \qquad x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 5$



Geometrical interpretation of LP



dashed lines (hyperplanes) are level sets $c^T x = \alpha$ for different α

Example



optimal solution is (1,1)