Measurements of radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles
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We report experimental measurement of radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles over the spectral region from 1.67 to 3.5 μm. The radiation characteristics were retrieved by an inverse method that minimizes the quadratic difference between the measured and the calculated spectral bidirectional transmittance and reflectance for different sample thicknesses. The theoretical spectral transmittances and reflectances were computed by solving the one-dimensional radiative transfer equation by the discrete-ordinates method for a nonemitting, homogeneous, and scattering medium. The results of the inversion were shown to be independent of the sample thickness for samples thicker than 3 mm and clearly demonstrate that bubbles have an effect on the radiation characteristics of fused quartz. © 2004 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION

The radiative transfer equation (RTE) describes on a phenomenological level radiation transfer in a continuous, homogeneous medium; in contrast, porous media consist of a dispersed and a continuous phase and therefore are by nature inhomogeneous. However, the RTE can still be employed with the effective absorption and scattering coefficients and the effective phase function of the porous medium provided that the porous medium can be treated as homogeneous, i.e., if the ratio of pore size to sample dimension is very small. However, no quantitative model is currently available to predict the minimum material thickness beyond which the homogeneous assumption is valid. Numerous studies have been performed on theoretical predictions and experimental determination of thermal radiation characteristics of heterogeneous media. A review of the subject was recently presented by Baillis and Sacadura.2

The literature contains numerous studies concerned with closed-cell foams such as polyurethane or polystyrene foams.3–7 All the studies consider the medium to be optically thick and isotropically scattering materials for which the Rosseland diffusion approximation is valid. This approach consists of treating the radiative transfer as a diffusion process. Then one may define the radiative conductivity \( k_R \) by

\[
k_R = \frac{16\pi^2\sigma T^3}{3\beta_R } ,
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant (\(=5.670 \times 10^{-8}\) W/m²K⁴) and \( n \) is the effective index of refraction of the heterogeneous medium. The dependence of \( n \) on wavelength is negligible compared with that of the extinction coefficient \( \beta_\lambda \), and its value is close to unity for large porosity.8 The Rosseland mean extinction coefficient \( \beta_R \) can be computed from its definition,

\[
\frac{n^2}{\beta_R } = \frac{\pi}{4\sigma T^3} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(n_\lambda^2 )^2 }{\beta_\lambda } dI_b_\lambda d\lambda ,
\]

where \( (dI_b_\lambda )/dT \) is the derivative of the blackbody spectral intensity \( I_b_\lambda \) with respect to the temperature \( T \).
When the porous medium cannot be treated as optically thick or isotropically scattering, more-refined models for radiation characteristics are required. For example, fused quartz or soda-lime silicate glasses are weakly absorbing in the spectral range from 0.2 to approximately 4.5 μm, and the Rosseland diffusion approximation may not be valid. Pilon and Viskanta discussed extensively the model for radiation characteristics of semitransparent media containing bubbles proposed by Fedorov and Viskanta and applied it to soda-lime silicate glass containing bubbles with radius larger than 10 μm and porosity between 0 and 0.74. The results for soda-lime silicate glass indicate that scattering of radiation by the bubbles entrapped in the glass matrix dominates the radiation transfer for porosity larger than 0.2 in the spectral range from 0.3 to 4.5 μm, where the glass is weakly absorbing. For longer wavelengths, however, the glass matrix is strongly absorbing, and absorption by the matrix dominates the radiation transfer.

The present study is restricted to radiation characteristics of semitransparent media containing bubbles. Experimental evidence on the effect of voids on the radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles is presented. First, different techniques for measuring the radiation characteristics of porous materials reported in the literature are briefly reviewed. Then the experimental setup and the procedure to retrieve the radiation characteristics by an inverse method are presented. Finally, experimental results are discussed along with a parametric study of the experimental conditions and assumptions made for retrieving the radiation characteristics.

2. CURRENT STATE OF KNOWLEDGE
Established techniques for estimating the radiation characteristics of porous materials consist of measuring some apparent physical quantities of the medium and then using inverse methods to retrieve the radiation characteristics that best fit the experimental data by solving the RTE. Initial values for the radiation characteristics are assumed, and the RTE is solved. Then the calculated and the measured apparent properties are compared and a new estimate is made. This procedure is accomplished in an iterative manner until the set of absorption and scattering coefficients and phase function minimizes the difference between the measured and the calculated apparent properties. The major drawback inherent in the inverse method is that the problem is ill-posed; i.e., there is no unique solution for the absorption and scattering coefficients and the scattering phase function. Moreover, owing to the iterative nature of the method, the initial values for the absorption and scattering coefficients are of major importance if one wants a rapid convergence of the solution. Experimental measurements commonly associated with inverse methods to retrieve the radiation characteristics of porous media are (i) spectral or total, (ii) directional–hemispherical or directional–directional measurements of transmittance and reflectance, and (iii) collimated (normal or not) or diffuse incident radiation. Moreover, several numerical techniques have been used to solve the RTE along with different optimization algorithms to minimize the difference between predictions and experimental data.

Hale and Bohn combined the Monte Carlo method with importance sampling and a nonlinear least-squares convergence technique to compute the absorption and scattering coefficients of reticulated alumina foams from the spectral directional–hemispherical transmittance, assuming an isotropic phase function. The directional–hemispherical transmittances of three samples of different thicknesses were measured. The initial guess for the radiation characteristics was obtained from a simplified geometric model.

Hendricks and Howell derived the radiation characteristics of reticulated porous ceramics by measuring their spectral directional–hemispherical transmittance and reflectance for 0.4 μm < λ < 5 μm. They used the traditional inverse method with the discrete-ordinates method to solve the RTE and a nonlinear least-squares minimization algorithm. They investigated two different phase functions with two unknown parameters. The samples were 1–2 mm thick with 10–65 pores per inch (ppi) and a porosity of 80–85%. The authors reported severe computational stability and accuracy requirements and obtained only a few successfully converged optimum solutions, particularly for most scattering samples (65 ppi). Moreover, the samples were thin to permit transmittance measurement, but the homogeneity assumption may not be valid, as reported by Hale and Bohn for similar methods.

Baillis et al. determined radiation characteristics of open-cell carbon foams by using a prediction model based on geometric optics combined with diffraction. Baillis and co-workers combined measurements of bidirectional and directional–hemispherical transmittance and reflectance to identify the radiative characteristics of polyurethane foams. They solved the RTE by the discrete-ordinates method. Their study shows that a combination of bidirectional and directional–hemispherical measurements provides complementary information and is preferable to either bidirectional or directional–hemispherical measurements. As mentioned by Baillis et al., the drawback of using bidirectional measurements for highly forward scattering media is the weakness of the transmitted or the reflected signal in directions other than the normal direction, which leads to high experimental uncertainties. On the other hand, only directional–hemispherical measurements for a given sample thickness do not permit estimation of the scattering phase function, which often is assumed to be isotropic. Moura recovered the spectral radiation characteristics of different fibrous media from spectral transmittance and reflectance measurements with different angles of incidence. However, the authors assumed that the scattering phase function was azimuthally symmetric, which limits the generality of the approach.

All the above-mentioned studies assumed that the porous medium can be treated as homogeneous. Such an assumption leads to the following experimental dilemma: On the one hand, one needs thick enough samples to be able to apply the inverse method by using the RTE, yet on the other hand some porous media are so highly scatter-
ing and/or absorbing that the signal of the transmitted radiation is very weak even for thin samples and the experimental uncertainty is very large. Moreover, if a thick layer is exposed to a high intensity of radiation to obtain stronger signals, the incident radiation may heat up the sample, causing nonuniformity in the sample temperature.

To overcome the difficulties related to the homogeneous assumption, Dunn and Subramaniam and Menguc reported an inverse method, using the Monte Carlo method with importance sampling with applications to inhomogeneous planar media. Unlike the traditional inverse method, the approach described requires only one direct simulation, but the optical thickness is either assumed to be known or is determined from independent experiments. Moreover, as a statistically based method, the Monte Carlo approach requires tracking of a large number of photon bundles and hence large computer resources for reasonable accuracy.

An alternative technique to measure the radiation properties of porous materials was presented by Yamada and Kurosaki and co-workers. First, Take-Uchi et al. presented a method to determine the extinction coefficient, the albedo, and the backscattering fraction factor of fiberglass batting. The albedo and the backscattering fraction factor were estimated by heating an optically thick sample at 108 °C and measuring its normal emittance. The extinction coefficient was determined independently by transmittance measurements of a thinner sample. The analysis is based on the two-flux model and on the assumptions that the radiative properties do not depend on temperature and that the temperature in the medium is uniform. The albedo and the backscattering fraction factor are determined by use of the least-squares optimization technique. Further simplification was presented more recently by Yamada and Kurosaki, who assumed an isotropic scattering phase function. In both cases, the authors used the fact that the emittance of an optically thick and isotropically scattering medium is independent of the optical thickness and depends only on the albedo and on the backscattering fraction factor (0.5 if isotropic scattering is assumed). Finally, the authors recommend the simplified method for highly scattering media rather than for absorbing media since the albedo is very sensitive to emittance, which is larger for strongly absorbing media.

In the present study, spectral bidirectional measurements are used to identify radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles. Usually, spectral bidirectional measurements are performed for high-porosity foams and fibrous media with negligible reflectivities at the interfaces. However, the samples under consideration have porosity ~10%, and reflectivities at the fused quartz/surround interface cannot be neglected.

3. EXPERIMENTS AND METHODOLOGY

A. Experimental Setup

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup used to measure the spectral bidirectional transmittance and reflectance of the quartz samples containing bubbles and shows the path of the radiation from the radiation source to the detector. A radiation source is generated from a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (FTS 60 A, Bio-Rad, Inc.) operating in the spectral range 1.5–25 μm. The source is distant from the spherical mirror SM1 by twice its focal distance and corresponds to a blackbody emission spectrum at 1300 °C emitting a radiation beam 7 mm in diameter. The diaphragm is located in the focal point of mirror SM2 and consists of four cylindrical holes of different radii RA that determined the divergence half-angle θ0 of the outcoming beam of the FTIR spectrometer expressed as

$$\theta_0 = \arctan \left( \frac{R_A}{f_2} \right),$$

where f2 is the focal distance of mirror SM2. For a good resolution, one needs to reduce the divergence of the beam by reducing the radius of the diaphragm. However, this also reduces the energy of the signal. Thus, a compromise must be found between the energy of the signal leaving the FTIR spectrometer and the resolution. The optimum diaphragm diameter was found to be RA = 2.7 mm, leading to a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and a divergence half-angle of θ0 = 1.27°.

The detection system consists of a spherical mirror collecting the transmitted radiation and concentrating it on a liquid-nitrogen-cooled mercury cadmium telluride (HgCdTe) detector (Bio-Rad, Inc. model 997-0038) located at its focal point. The detection system is mounted on a rotating arm, which allows the measurement of the spectral bidirectional transmittance and reflectance in any arbitrary direction in the plane of incidence, as shown in

---

**Fig. 1.** Schematic of the experimental apparatus used to measure the spectral transmittance and reflectance.
Fig. 1. The rotation axis of the goniometer is passing in the plane of the incident face of the sample for reflectance measurements and in the plane of the other face for transmittance measurements. The entire system was purged with dry air to avoid infrared absorption by water vapor and carbon dioxide. The radiation emitted by the source is modulated and the detection is synchronized, so that the radiation emitted by the sample and the surroundings are not measured.

The bidirectional transmittances and reflectances $T_{e,\lambda}(\theta)$ for normal incidence are defined by

$$T_{e,\lambda}(\theta) = \frac{I_{e,\lambda}(\theta)}{I_{0,\lambda}d\omega_0},$$

where $I_{e,\lambda}(\theta)$ is the transmitted or the reflected intensity in the $\theta$ direction and $I_{0,\lambda}$ is the intensity of the collimated beam normally incident onto the sample within the solid angle $d\omega_0 = 2\pi(1 - \cos \theta_0)$. The solid angle of detection is denoted $d\omega_d = 2\pi(1 - \cos \theta_d)$, where $\theta_d$ is the detection angle measured experimentally as 0.19°. The spherical mirror obstructs the incident beam and prevents the measurement of the spectral bidirectional reflectance for directions close to $\theta = 180°$. To overcome this difficulty, the sample holder is rotated by an angle $\theta_a = 5°$ (see Fig. 2), permitting the measurement of specular reflection, which is equivalent to reflectance measurements at 180° with normal incidence if one assumes that the reflection is independent of the incident angle (for small angles). The same approach is used for the other directions of the quadrature scheme for which the sample holder obstructs the incident beam.

Finally, the reflection of the incident radiation by the sample holder can significantly affect the reflectance measurements, particularly for small diaphragms of the sample holder. The sample holder consists of two rigid plates that have circular diaphragms, between which the sample is placed. Painting the sample holder with an absorbing black paint was not sufficient to minimize such a disturbance. Consequently, the sample holder, including the front and back diaphragms, were coated with soot particles by being placed in a combustion chamber where ethylene and oxygen burned with an excess of oxygen. Then the sample-holder emissivity was measured; the measurements indicate that the sample holder behaves as a blackbody across the entire spectral range of the detector. Doermann also showed that assuming a uniform incident radiation intensity may not be valid if the diaphragm placed in front of the sample is too large. Therefore one should achieve a compromise in order to find the largest possible diaphragm that would give the largest radiation intensity and signal-to-noise ratio possible while satisfying the assumption of uniform incident intensity used as a boundary condition in the inversion scheme. The optimum diaphragm diameter was found to be 35 mm, leading to a ratio of sample thickness to diaphragm diameter between 1/12 and 1/4. In the inversion procedure the RTE is solved under the assumption that the radiation intensity profile of the incident beam falling on the sample is uniform [see the boundary condition expressed by Eq. (7)]. In general, the intensity profile assumes a bell shape with a plateau in the center and a sharp decrease at the edges. The uniformity of the radiation intensity falling onto the sample, i.e., the extent of the plateau in the center of the beam, depends on both the spectrophotometer aperture and the size of the diaphragm placed in front of the sample.

B. Sample Description

Fused quartz samples containing bubbles were prepared and analyzed. The fused quartz is the Osram Sylvania SG25 Lighting grade containing a negligible hydroxyl content with a maximum of 5 ppm. Samples were cut with a diamond saw from a large piece of quartz collected during the shutdown of an industrial furnace in which the fused quartz is electrically heated in an inert atmosphere of helium and hydrogen. The samples were then ground with a diamond wheel and polished with silicon carbide papers of different grids. Desirable finish was achieved by using a rotating cork belt. The samples were cleaned with a 1:1 mixture of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide at 30% for 10 min, followed by a 10-min rinse in deionized water. The samples were finally dried by being blown with ultra-pure nitrogen. Five samples of different thicknesses (3, 5, 5.6, 6, and 9 mm) were studied, all having an average void fraction of 0.094 ± 16% and a 5 cm × 5 cm cross section. The samples are cut relatively thin so that (1) the width-to-thickness ratio is large enough to ensure one-dimensional radiative transfer and (2) the transmitted signal-to-noise ratio is large enough for the measurements to be meaningful. Owing to the small thickness, the ratio of sample thickness to average bubble diameter is small, and inhomogeneities exist. However, the cross-sectional area of the incident beam is large (~35 mm) compared with the average cross-sectional area of the bubbles. Therefore the radiation encounters numerous bubbles as it passes through the sample, which leads to an averaging effect that smooths out the inhomogeneities, as confirmed by Baillis and Sacadura. In other words, the radiation characteristics $\kappa_\lambda$, $\sigma_\lambda$, $\beta_\lambda$, and $\Phi(\theta)$ recovered from the bidirectional transmittance and reflectance measurements vary little with the sample thickness; i.e., the local inhomogeneities do not affect the results of the inversion, and the homogeneous assumption is valid.

Figure 3 shows a photograph of a typical 3-mm-thick sample of 5 cm × 5 cm cross section. The bubble size distribution was determined from the analysis of more
the measured and the calculated bidirectional transmittances are symmetric with respect to the incident direction. Even though micrographs were taken from two different samples, all the samples were assumed to have the same bubble size distribution and void fraction since the samples were prepared from the same large piece of glass.

Fig. 3. Digital photograph of a 3-mm-thick fused quartz sample containing bubbles (porosity=10%).

than 120 images of individual bubbles. As one can see in Fig. 3, the bubbles are spherical in shape and randomly distributed, and their size distribution is relatively uniform, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The average bubble radius is 1.14 mm. The fact that bubbles are randomly distributed ensures that radiation characteristics of the medium are independent of the azimuthal angles and that bidirectional transmittances are symmetric with respect to the incident direction. Even though micrographs were taken from two different samples, all the samples were assumed to have the same bubble size distribution and void fraction since the samples were prepared from the same large piece of glass.

Fig. 4. Bubble size distribution obtained from more than 120 images of individual bubbles.

Solution of the RTE is achieved by using the discrete ordinates method in the n directions of the quadrature scheme. Under the above assumptions, the discretized one-dimensional RTE in the direction \( \theta_i \) can be expressed as the following system of n partial differential equations (one for each direction),

\[
\frac{\partial I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda}, \mu_i)}{\partial \tau_{\lambda}} = -I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda}, \mu_i) + \frac{\omega_i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j [\Phi(\mu_j, \mu_i)I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda}, \mu_j) + \Phi(-\mu_j, \mu_i)I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda}, -\mu_j)]
\]

(6)

where \( \mu_i = \cos \theta_i \) is the cosine director, \( \tau_{\lambda} \) is the spectral optical coordinate and \( w_j \) is a weighting factor associated with the ordinate direction \( \theta_j \). The weighting factors \( w_i \) depend on the quadrature scheme and are listed in Table 1 for the quadrature consisting of 24 directions and corresponding to a half-angle \( \theta_d \) of 1.27°. The quadrature is a combination of two Gauss quadratures that allows for a concentration of ordinates in the neighborhood of the normal direction suitable for forward-scattering media. The boundary conditions are obtained by assuming that the interfaces are optically smooth, i.e., that surface roughness is small compared with the wavelength of radiation, and that reflections are specular. Indeed, the void fraction is relatively small, and the effect of open bubbles at the sample surface can be neglected. Then, the boundary conditions associated with the RTE for normal incident radiation are given by

\[
I_{\lambda}(0, \mu_j) = r_{21}I_{\lambda}(0, -\mu_j) + (n_{\lambda}^m)^2 I_{\lambda}(0, 0), \quad \mu_j > 0,
\]

(7)

\[
I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda, L}, \mu_j) = r_{21}I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda, L}, -\mu_j), \quad \mu_j < 0,
\]

(8)

where \( r_{12} \) and \( r_{21} \) are the interface reflectivities as shown in Fig. 5 and \( \tau_{\lambda, L} \) is the optical thickness of the entire sample; i.e., \( \tau_{\lambda} = \tau_{\lambda}(x = L) \). The Kronecker delta...
function is denoted \( \delta_{\mu_j,\omega_j} (= 1 \text{ if } \mu_j = \mu_0 \text{ and } = 0 \text{ otherwise}) \) with \( \mu_j = \cos(\theta_j) \) and \( \mu_0 = 1 \) for normal incidence.

In general, reflectivities are determined from the complex index of refraction.\(^{27}\) In spectral regions where the complex part is negligible (weakly absorbing materials), reflectivities at the interface \( r_{12} \) can be determined from the Fresnel’s equation,\(^{1,27}\)

\[
r_{12} = \frac{1}{\frac{\sin^2(\theta - \chi)}{\sin^2(\theta + \chi)} + \frac{\tan^2(\theta - \chi)}{\tan^2(\theta + \chi)}},
\]

where \( \theta \) is the angle of incidence between the normal and the incident radiation onto the surface. The angle of reflected fraction of the radiation from within the layer onto the back surface of the slab is denoted \( \chi \). For normal incident radiation, the external surface reflectivity \( (r_{12}) \) simplifies as\(^{1,27}\)

\[
r_{12} = \frac{\left( \frac{n_\lambda}{n_\omega} - 1 \right)^2}{\left( \frac{n_\lambda}{n_\omega} + 1 \right)^2}.
\]

Moreover, owing to the presence of a large number of scatterers (bubbles) in the condensed phase layer (medium 2), the radiation field inside the layer does not reach the interface normally. Thus the internal surface reflectivity \( r_{21} \) is also given by Eq. (9) but with \( \theta = \chi \) the incident angle of radiation coming from the medium and \( \chi = \theta_j \) the refraction angle at the interface. The angles \( \chi_j \) and \( \theta_j \) are related by Snell’s law:

\[
n_\lambda \sin \chi_j = \sin \theta_j.
\]

Thus the reflected and transmitted intensity used to calculate the transmittance and reflectance required in the identification processes are given, respectively, by

\[
I_\lambda(\tau_{\lambda,\omega}, \mu_i) = \frac{1}{n_\omega^3} \left( 1 - r_{21} \right) I_\lambda(0, \mu_0),
\]

\[
I_\lambda(\tau_{\lambda,\omega}, \mu_i) = \frac{1}{n_\omega^3} \left( 1 - r_{21} \right) I_\lambda(0, \mu_0),
\]

where \( \mu_i = \cos \theta_j \) is obtained from Snell’s law. The scattering phase function was assumed to follow the Henyey–Greenstein normalized form involving only the asymmetry factor \( g \) and expressed as\(^1\)

\[
\Phi_\lambda(\theta) = \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g \cos \theta)^{3/2}}.
\]

The shape coefficient \( g \) can vary between 0 (isotropic scattering) and \( \pm 1 \) (+ for strictly forward scattering and − for backward scattering).

Finally, the space is discretized to allow numerical solution of the above system of partial differential equations with the associated boundary conditions [Eqs. (6)–(8)] by the finite-volume method.\(^{19,24}\) The results obtained by the discrete-ordinates method may depend strongly on the number of directions and on the spatial discretizations chosen. We performed grid sensitivity studies to reduce the computational time and still provide sufficiently accurate results. The numerical results were shown to be independent of the number of control volumes considered, and 190 control volumes in each ordinate direction were sufficient to obtain a converged solution.

D. Infrared Optical Constants of Fused Quartz

The index of refraction of fused quartz in the spectral range of the infrared detector \((0.2 \mu m \leq \lambda \leq 15 \mu m)\) is necessary for determining the reflectivity at the glass sample–surround interface used in the boundary conditions. Different correlations for the real part of the complex index of refraction of fused quartz as a function of
wavelength have been suggested in the literature for different spectral regions. Rodney and Spindler suggested an expression for $n^2$ over the spectral range from 0.347 to 3.508 $\mu$m at 31 °C, and Tan and Arndt proposed another equation in the spectral region from 1.44 to 4.77 $\mu$m at temperatures ranging from 23.5 to 481 °C. Over the spectral range from 0.21 to 3.71 $\mu$m at 20 °C, Malitson fitted experimental data with the following three-term Sellmeier equation:

$$
(n^2 - 1) = \frac{0.6961663 \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - (0.0684043)^2} + \frac{0.4079426 \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - (0.1162414)^2}
+ \frac{0.8974794 \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - (9.896161)^2}.
$$

(15)

Moreover, Tan confirmed the validity of Eq. (15) for wavelengths up to 6.7 $\mu$m. Therefore, owing to its wide range of validity (from 0.21 to 6.7 $\mu$m) at room temperature, Eq. (15) is used in the present study.

**E. Experimental Uncertainty**

In the case of bidirectional measurement, experimental uncertainty is due mainly to the alignment. To assess the experimental uncertainty, four different alignments were performed and spectral bidirectional transmittances and reflectances were measured for each sample. The average spectral transmittance or reflectance in direction $\theta_i$ and wavelength $\lambda$ denoted $T_{\lambda}(\theta_i)$ along with the mean square deviations $\Delta_i$ were computed as

$$
T_{\lambda}(\theta_i) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} T_{k,\lambda}(\theta_i),
$$

(16)

$$
\Delta_i = \frac{1}{T_{\lambda}(\theta_i)} \left( \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{4} [T_{k,\lambda}(\theta_i) - T_{\lambda}(\theta_i)]^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

(17)

Then the resulting average spectral bidirectional transmittances and reflectances were used as input parameters to the inversion algorithm. The experimental error on the measured transmittances and reflectances depends on the ordinate directions and on the wavelength. Indeed, the signal-to-noise ratio decreases as one moves away from the incident direction corresponding to $\theta_i = 0.0°$.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The input parameters for the inverse method are (i) the sample thickness $L$, (ii) the ordinate directions $\theta_i$ and the associated weighting factors $w_{\theta_i}$, and (iii) the complex index of refraction of fused quartz. The radiation characteristics retrieved from the inversion are the single-scattering albedo $\omega_s$, the extinction coefficient $\beta_{\lambda}$, and the Henyey–Greenstein asymmetry factor $g$. Then the absorption and scattering coefficients can be determined from

$$
\kappa_{\lambda} = \beta_{\lambda}(1 - \omega_s), \quad \sigma_{\lambda} = \beta_{\lambda} \omega_s.
$$

(18)

In this section we present the radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles obtained by the inverse method. We discuss in detail the validity of the results and their sensitivity to input parameters as well as provide physical explanations of the results.

**A. Discussion of the Inverse Method**

To retrieve the radiation characteristics of the samples, the RTE and the associated boundary conditions were solved for 24 different angles of the ordinate directions and for 200 different wavelengths in the spectral region from 1.67 to 3.5 $\mu$m. Since the set of equations solved by the inverse method is ill-posed, a small uncertainty in the experimental data could lead to large variations and errors in the recovered results. Therefore, it is essential to perform a sensitivity study to assess the effect of small changes in the input parameters. To do so, the uncertainty both on the real ($n^2$) part of the index of refraction of fused quartz and on the sample thickness $L$ was estimated to be 2%. It was shown that error on the sample thickness $L$ has a negligible effect on the results of the inverse method. However, an uncertainty of 2% in $n^2$ leads to a similar uncertainty in the retrieved extinction coefficient, single-scattering albedo, and asymmetry factor $g$ but is still acceptable since experimental data for $n^2$ appear to be highly accurate and reproducible, as discussed above. The difference between reflectivities calculated from Eq. (9) neglecting the absorption index $k^2$ and those obtained without neglecting the absorption index remains less than $4.5 \times 10^{-3}$%, and all the retrieved parameters vary by less than $10^{-4}$% for all the samples and wavelengths in the range from 1.67 to 3.5 $\mu$m. This confirms that the absorption index $k^2$ can be neglected in the calculation of reflectivities and that Eq. (9) is valid for fused quartz in the spectral range of interest.

Owing to the weak signal transmitted in directions far from the incident direction, only eight forward directions and three backward directions were used. To verify the good behavior of the model and the validity of the results, the effect of the number of ordinate directions used for the inversion was investigated. Figure 6 illustrates the effect of the number of ordinate directions for the single-scattering albedo of the 5.5-mm-thick sample. It indicates that unlike the number of backward directions considered, the number of forward directions considered...
has a significant effect on the retrieved parameters. The study shows that at least three angles $\theta_i$ are needed in the forward direction to produce convergence of the inversion algorithm. The results were shown to be independent of the number of ordinate directions if at least five different angles $\theta_i$ close to $\theta = 0^\circ$ (forward) and two near $\theta = 180^\circ$ (backward) were considered. The number of wavelengths for which the inversion algorithm converges increases with the number of directions accounted for.

Similarly, a sensitivity study for the initial values taken for $\beta_1$, $\omega_3$, and $g$ was carried out. In brief, the inverse algorithm always converges to the same solutions for different sets of initial estimates of the radiation characteristics.

Moreover, beyond wavelengths of 4.5 $\mu$m, fused quartz is strongly absorbing, thus reducing the magnitude of the transmitted signal and increasing the experimental uncertainty. The measurements were performed for wavelengths between 1.67 and 3.5 $\mu$m. The lower limit corresponds to the limit of the detector, and for wavelengths greater than 3.5 $\mu$m the inverse algorithm could not converge for certain samples.

Furthermore, the difference between $r_{12}(0^\circ)$ and $r_{12}(5^\circ)$ remains less than 3.10$^{-2}$% for wavelengths between 1.67 and 3.5 $\mu$m. Thus the reflection can be assumed to be independent of the angle for small angles, and the technique described in Fig. 2 can be used to measure the reflectance at $180^\circ$.

Finally, it was not necessary to measure spectral directional–hemispherical transmittance and reflectance, owing to the good behavior of the inversion algorithm in terms of convergence and sensitivity.

**B. Analysis of the Experimental Results**

Figure 7 shows the extinction coefficient, the single-scattering albedo, and the Henyey–Greenstein asymmetric factor as a function of wavelength retrieved for the different samples. One can see that the retrieved characteristics do not vary significantly with a sample thickness larger than 3 mm, which confirms the good behavior of the inversion algorithm with use of Eqs. (6)–(15). However, the 3-mm-thick sample has a spectral extinction coefficient much larger than that of the other samples. This can be explained by the fact that the sample may be too thin to be treated as homogeneous or that the effect of open bubbles at the sample surface cannot be neglected for thin samples, i.e., the interfaces cannot be treated as optically smooth. Similar observations have been reported by Hale and Bohn\textsuperscript{14} for open-cell reticulated alumina foams.

On the basis of the above remarks, the radiation characteristics retrieved for the 3-mm-thick sample were not considered. The spectral data for the four other samples were averaged at each wavelength and are shown in Fig. 8 along with the associated standard deviation. The retrieved radiation characteristics for the 9-mm-thick sample deviate slightly from those obtained with 5-, 5.6-, and 6-mm-thick samples for the single-scattering albedo and the asymmetry factor. The maximum standard deviation is observed for the single-scattering albedo but does not exceed 14%. The single-scattering albedo ranges between 0.06 and 0.11, indicating that the radiation transfer is dominated by absorption in the fused quartz matrix. It also indicates that even for a small void fraction of $\sim$10%, scattering caused by the presence of bubbles contributes between 6% and 11% to the total extinction coefficient. The single-scattering albedo is expected to be larger for glass samples containing smaller bubbles and thus having larger interfacial area.

The absorption and scattering coefficients were computed from Eq. (18) and are presented in Fig. 9. The scattering coefficient is relatively small owing to the small void fraction and the relatively large bubble size, resulting in a small interfacial surface area. Indeed, scattering is caused by the reflection and diffraction of the
radiation at the gas–fused-quartz interface and should depend strongly on the interfacial phenomena. Moreover, the absorption coefficient presents a peak near the wavelength of 2.7 μm, which is attributed to hydroxyl groups present as impurities in the fused quartz. Indeed, O-H stretching vibration presents a maximum at ~2.72 μm and is believed to consist of four Gaussian components corresponding to different O-H bonding configurations in the SiO₂ matrix. The absorption by the impurities such as hydroxyl groups is particularly noticeable near 2.7 μm since the complex part of the index of refraction of fused quartz is very small over the spectral region from 0.2 to 4.5 μm. One could also mention that both carbon dioxide and water vapor present strong absorption bands at 2.7 μm (Ref. 27) and could be entrapped in the bubbles, as commonly observed in glass manufacturing. However, the industrial process used to manufacture the fused quartz under consideration consists of heating pure silicon dioxide electrically in an inert atmosphere of helium and hydrogen. Moreover, analysis of the bubble content indicates that bubbles contain mainly carbon monoxide, along with helium and hydrogen. These gases do not absorb near 2.7 μm (Ref. 27) and should not influence the radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles. Consequently, hydroxyl groups are solely responsible for the absorption peak around 2.72 μm. Beside the absorption peak around 2.7 μm, the retrieved values of the absorption coefficient are larger than the value computed from the optical properties of dense 

![Fig. 8. Average retrieved extinction, single-scattering albedo, and Henyey–Greenstein asymmetry factor by an inverse method for each sample and their standard deviations.](image)

![Fig. 9. Average retrieved absorption (top) and scattering (bottom) coefficients.](image)
fused quartz reported in the literature. Additional absorption could be due to trapping of radiation by successive interreflections within the bubbles caused by the curvature of the bubble surface. However, the optical properties of fused quartz in the spectral region from 1.67 to 3.5 μm depend strongly on the impurity concentration, and therefore no quantitative conclusion can be drawn.

Finally, the experimental error computed from Eq. (17) is conservatively estimated to be 9%, i.e., \( \Delta_i < 9\% \) in the normal direction and up to 25% at \( \theta_i = 3.32^\circ \). The computed spectral transmittances and reflectances fall systematically within the experimental uncertainty error bars for all samples and directions except for \( \theta = 180^\circ \). For example, Fig. 10 compares the experimental transmittance obtained with the 5.6-mm-thick sample for typical directions 1 and 3 (\( \theta_i = 0^\circ \) and 3.32°, respectively) with those obtained numerically by solving the RTE by using the discrete-ordinates method and the average spectral extinction coefficient, the single-scattering albedo, and the asymmetry factor obtained by the inverse method. One can see that the computed spectral transmittance falls within the experimental uncertainty error bars representing the interval \( [T_{\lambda(i)}(\theta_i) - \Delta_i, T_{\lambda,i}(\theta_i) + \Delta_i] \).

5. CONCLUSIONS

The radiation characteristics of fused quartz containing bubbles were measured experimentally. So far, spectral bidirectional transmittance and reflectance measurements have been performed for high-porosity material such as foam and fibrous media. In this work, the porosity is approximately 10%, and reflectivities at the interface have been taken into account assuming smooth interfaces. To study experimentally the effect of bubbles on the radiation characteristics of fused quartz, we considered five different samples with thickness between 3 and 10 mm. Experimentally, we have established that

- The assumption of one-dimensional radiation transfer appears to be appropriate for samples thicker than 3 mm.
- Inconsistencies in the retrieved radiation characteristics appear for the 3-mm-thick sample. They can be attributed to the possibility that the homogeneous assumption may not be valid for such thin samples and/or that the effect of open bubbles at the sample surface can no longer be neglected.

As far as the effect of bubbles on the radiation characteristics of fused quartz is concerned, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The presence of the bubbles seems to increase the extinction coefficient as a result of the scattering of the radiation at the bubble–glass interface in the spectral range from 1.67 to 3.5 μm. For a void fraction of ~10%, scattering caused by the presence of bubbles contributes between 6% and 11% to the total extinction coefficient.
- The scattering phase function of quartz containing bubbles is directed strongly forward as predicted by the model discussed by Viskanta and co-workers. The Henyey–Greenstein phase function appears to be appropriate to represent the scattering of semitransparent media containing bubbles.
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